UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark. Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,863	09/26/2003	Paul A. Edney	15066US01	1278
McANDREWS	7590 01/05/200 , HELD & MALLOY,	•	.· EXAM	
34th Floor	Ctt		KISH, JAMES M	
500 W. Madison Street Chicago, IL 60661			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3737	<u> </u>
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/672,863	EDNEY ET AL.	EDNEY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James Kish	3737			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addres	S		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become	IICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		itters, prosecution as to the me	rits is		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	·	·			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	•			
Application Papers	·				
	inor				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 September 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
The sain of designation is objected to by the	Examinor, Note the attach	sa emee Aeden er lenn i 10 i	<b>02</b> .		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul><li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreit</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		n received in this National Stac	ge		
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies no	ot received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of	f Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wake (US Patent App. 2002/0100864) in view of Slepicka et al. (Applied Optics). Wake discloses photo-detection circuit for usie in a laser imaging apparatus. The apparatus produces a digital signal representing the power level of the laser beam after propagating through the breast tissue. This photon-intensity is later plotted against time, called the Temporal Point Spread Function (TPSF) curve. This curve can be fitted to the diffusion equation. After curve fitting, the diffusion equation can be used to determine the optical characteristics of the breast. The approximate bandwidth for the detector circuit is 1.2 GHz, therefore providing an optimized frequency range (paragraphs 68-73). While Wake discloses providing curve fitting, there is no mention of non-linear regression. Slepicka teaches stabilized non-linear regression for interferogram analysis. The measured intensity is shown by Equation (1). When there is no background intensity [B(x)], this equation is in the form of that as claimed in claim 2 and similar claims. However, when B(x) is equal to Asin( $2\pi(f_0+\sigma t)t+\Phi_0$ ), this also is in the form of the equation as claimed in claim 3 and similar claims. Slepicka even states that the background intensity [B(x)] and the modulation amplitude [A(x)] are slowly

varying functions; however, they can contain additive and multiplicative high-frequency noise that are contributed by speckles for diffuse illumination, which include particle or extraneous diffraction. Figure 3 shows a curve fitting having been performed for a period of time that is less than one full wave cycle. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use non-linear regression instead of other approximation methods, such as Fourier series approximation, as is evident by the results shown in Table 1 of Slepicka. The regression method appears to be a proper choice for accurately extracting phase information near discontinuities (pg. 5042).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Kish whose telephone number is 571-272-5554. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00 ~ Mon. - Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 3737

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**JMK** 

ELENI MANTIS MERCADER
ELIPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER